

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,491	02/02/2000	Detlef Groth	BEIERSDORF-606-WCG	6328	
7:	590 05/20/2003				
NORRIS, Mc	LAUGHLIN & MARC	EXAMINER VARGOT, MATHIEU D			
ATTORNEYS 220EAST 42nd					
30TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10017		1732		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Office Action Summary		Application No.				
	Since Action S	Umma-	AC //Or	Applicant(s)			
			09/496,491 Examiner	6 P ==			
	-The same		Examiner	GROTH Group Art U	et al		
	THE MAILING DATE of this	00	M. VARGOT	Group Art U	nit		
	Period for R ply	communication appea	ars on the	1772			
	SHOPPEN	•	cover sheet ben	eath the			
	F THIS CO.	\ .		ur ure correspondence	e address		
	-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	- Extensions of time may be as a		O EXPIRE	MONTHE			
	Period for R ply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE Status OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication. Status Status						
	- If NO period for reply specified above is lo	ion.	1.136(a). In no event, however		ING DA		
1	P. II TOO IN TEDN IS SOUTH	S man thirt.	, , oviever, n	1av a makik			
	Any reply received by the Officer	period for replace	expire SIX (6) MO:	? Of thirty you	OIX (6) MONTI		
	term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 7040	three months after the	ute, cause the application the	e mailing date of the	sidered time.		
Sta	tus	and after the mail	ing date of this communication to bec	ome ABANDONED (OF 1)	ication.		
×	Responsive to as-		estimication, e	even if timely, may reduce a	§ 133),		
X	Any reply within the set or extended a Any reply received by the Office later than term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). tus Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL.	don 3/15/55		To reduce any	earned patent		
	This action is FINAL .	311403					
. "	once this application is in accompany			-			
Dis.	accordance with the practice under	or allowance except a	w.#				
Dispo	Since this application is in condition faccordance with the practice under Esition of Claims Claim(s) / - 3 / 6 / 7 / 9	x parte Quayle, 1935	normal matters, prosecution		_		
X	$Claim(s) = \sqrt{-3}$	135,0	.b. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	on as to the merits is cla	\		
	Claim(s) 1-3,6,7,9	10		10 010	vseq in		
	Claim(s)		is	/are pending in the			
X C	aim(s) [-3, 6, 7, 1		is/	are withdraw	ation.		
X CI	Of the above claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Page is/are pending in the application. is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. is/are rejected.						
☐ Cla	aim(e)			are allowed.			
Applicat	ion D	-	ISV8	are rejected.			
☐ The	The press						
[] The		_	are .	subject to restriction or ele irement			
~ -	drawing(s) filed on	on i	requ	irement	ection		
□ ine	specification is ali	is/are objected to I	reques ☐ approved ☐ disapproved ☐ disapproved	Oved			
☐ The o	path or declared	lmino-	- Adminer				
Driania	oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	10 Ev.					
· rionty un	ider 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	e examiner.					
☐ Ackno	Owledgement is mode.				- 1		
🗆 Ali 🖂	Dwledgement is made of a claim for for Some* None of the:	reian priorit			1.		
☐ Cer	diffed and	3. Phonly under 35	U.S.C. § 119 (a) (a)				
□ Cor	ties to copies of the priority documents	t.	σ · σ (α)-(α),				
~ >	copies of the priority documents	riave been received	Cio Ula				
⊔ Cop	les of the certified copies at the	have have On	Of Ins Thrian				
in th	is national stage as		"NONNO" Y, PTO	\ . ,			
*Certified c	opies not receiv		A Pale A1's	/			
ta hment(s			nt Apr				
- miends			Policati	. /			
□ Information	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Development is made of a claim for for Some* □ None of the: riffied copies of the priority documents tified copies of the priority documents ies of the certified copies of the copie		1 40	, pr /			
7 Noti-	Post	4		'Q ₁₅₀ /			
- IAOEICE Vt	ner		Parr	\ * / /			
→ Notice of			.0/^	\ /			
☐ Notice of			~a^	/			
☐ Notice of			Part of Paper No. 105. GRO. 2000 172 &				

- 1. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGrew (col. 2,

lines 36-37 and lines 53-60; col. 3, lines 39-41 and line 46; col. 4, line 15).

McGrew discloses the instant process for making a sheet bearing a hologram by embossing a polyester support foil with holographic structures, providing a sheet of plastic material onto the foil by coating same, curing the plastic material using UV or electron beam curing and removing the support foil. Note that the use of the polyester film is "preferable" so that the back of the hologram is smooth to facilitate viewing thereof. However, one of ordinary skill in this art would know that such would be dispensed with should one not desire such a smooth back surface. At any rate, the use of such a film is not seen to materially affect the process and hence the instant claims remain anticipated, in spite of the "consisting essentially of" language.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Application/Control Number: 09/496,491

Art Unit: 1732

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6, 7 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McGrew essentially for reasons of record noting the following. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected for reasons of record. While it is recognized that McGrew teaches a final step of metallization, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that such a step would have been performed during the providing of the sheet or simply not performed, depending on the desired reflectivity for the final hologram and product. Like the employment of the polyester film, the metallization is submitted to have been a step that does not materially affect the process and hence would have been within the skill level of the art to perform or not. On this basis, new claim 10 is submitted to have been obvious over McGrew.

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed March 12, 203 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's comments that McGrew does not anticipate claims 1-3 and can not teach the limitation of instant claim 10 because McGrew employs steps not set forth in the instant claims which employ "consisting essentially of" language is not persuasive for reasons already given. The "consisting essentially of" language only limits the scope of the claims to the specified steps and those that do not materially affect the basic and novel characteristics of the claimed invention. In this case, using a smooth polyester film and metallization are submitted to not materially affect the process.
- 5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/496,491

Art Unit: 1732

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Page 4

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to M. Vargot whose telephone number is 703 308-2621.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-0661.

M. Vargot

May 16, 2002

M. Vaget NATHIEU D. VARGOT PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1308

5/16/03